



## Review article

**Prevalence of cesarean section at Alta Wild Hospital during the Syrian crisis****Hisham Al-Hammami, Mhd Jamil Taleb, Mhd Nezar Alsharif\*, Mhd Majd Taleb**

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Syrian Private University, Damascus, Syrian Arab Republic

**Corresponding author:** Mhd Nezar Alsharif, ✉ [pnezaralsharif@hotmail.com](mailto:pnezaralsharif@hotmail.com)

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Syrian Private University, Damascus, Syrian Arab Republic

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>). See <https://jmpas.com/reprints-and-permissions> for full terms and conditions.**Received** – 20 October 2016, **Revised** - 25 November 2016, **Accepted** – 23 December 2017 (DD-MM-YYYY)**Refer This Article**Hisham Al-Hammami, Mhd Jamil Taleb, Mhd Nezar Alsharif, Mhd Majd Taleb, 2017. Prevalence of cesarean section at Alta Wild Hospital during the Syrian crisis. *Journal of medical pharmaceutical and allied sciences*, V 6 - I 6, Pages -612 – 614. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.55522/jmpas.V6I6.0162>.**ABSTRACT**

Cesarean delivery (CD) rates are becoming a global concern because of their steady increase, lack of awareness about their indications, associated short and long-term risks. In this study, we offer you the rates of cesarean deliveries compared to natural births during the Syrian crisis. This study is a retrospective study in ALTAWLID University Hospital in Damascus, Syria from 2010 until the end of the first half of 2017. Data were analyzed using Spss 23.0. We found 90054 deliveries (natural and caesarean) in all years included in the study. While the number of deliveries in the crisis years was 78,115 divided to (45,649) normal and (32466) CS. The highest rate of CS was at the end of the first half of 2017 with 51%. The rate of Caesarean deliveries has increased globally and in Syria in recent years so it is necessary to raise awareness on this issue to reduce the excessive use of this procedure, which may be dangerous for the mother and newborn.

**Keywords:** Anxiety, Statistical, Cesarean delivery, Normal delivery, Syrian crisis.**INTRODUCTION**

A caesarean section (CS) is a life-saving operation when certain complications happens during pregnancy and labour. Nevertheless, it is still a major surgery and has immediate maternal and perinatal risks and may affect future pregnancies. In addition, its long-term effects are still being investigated. Furthermore, while CS should only be done for obstetrical indications, it is sometimes done for maternal request and could have many risks for the infant. These risks include neonatal depression due to general anesthesia, fetal injury during hysterectomy and/or delivery, increased likelihood of respiratory distress even at term, and breastfeeding complications.

The use of CS has risen largely globally in the last decades particularly in middle- and high-income countries. Changes in maternal characteristics and professional practice styles, increasing malpractice pressure Cesarean delivery on maternal request might be the cause for this increase. Also, Cesarean delivery on maternal request (CDMR) an elective cesarean in the absence of any medical or obstetric contraindication for attempting vaginal delivery is the commonest reason for the increasing cesarean sections.

This study aimed to determine the prevalence of CS in Damascus, Syria at ALTAWLID University Hospital to increase the awareness about the increasing CS. Up to Our knowledge, this study is the first of its kind in Syria.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This was a retrospective study of patients at ALTAWLID University Hospital from 1/1/2010 to 30/6/2017 for delivery. The study included 92653 deliveries either normal or cesarean section. This study was done in Damascus, Syria at the Al-Tawlidi University Hospital. All The data were collected by medical students or doctors to insure the privacy and all the names were blinded. Statistical Analysis was done using SPSS 23.0 (SPSS Inc.)

**RESULTS**

We reviewed the number of deliveries from 2010 till the mid of 2017 considering the year 2010 the base year (before the crisis) to which we compared the following years to. We had a total of 90054 deliveries (normal and cesarean) including 2010 divided to (54,105) normal deliveries and (35949) CS. The number of deliveries in the Syrian Crisis (excluding 2010) was (45,649) normal and (32466) CS with a total of 78,115 deliveries. (Table 1) and (Figure 1).

**Table 1:** Results of the study including the number of deliveries in each year (whether it is a normal delivery or a caesarean section)

Year	Total	Normal	Normal Percentage	CS	CS Percentage
2010	11939	8456	71%	3483	29%
2011	10928	7397	68%	3531	32%
2012	13051	8700	67%	4351	33%
2013	11125	6298	56.5%	4827	43.5%
2014	12731	6790	53%	5941	47%
2015	12521	7168	57%	5353	43%
2016	12481	6697	54%	5784	46%
½ 2017	5278	2599	49%	2679	51%

**Figure 1:** Results of the study including the number of deliveries in each year (whether it is a normal delivery or a caesarean section).

Over the past decades, the unprecedented and significant rise in caesarean delivery rates has increased research and anxiety among healthcare professionals. Despite the importance and interest about this issue worldwide, there are only a few studies about it. Globally, the latest available data show that nearly 1 in every 5 women in the world now give birth to Caesarean. The percentage of cesarean delivery in ALTAWLID Hospital has increased during the years of crisis since 2011 until now, and this includes CDMR (perhaps because of the lack of prenatal care or because of the difficulties in reaching hospitals and due to large population displacements) among other reasons.

The average global cesarean section is 18.6%. It ranges between 6% in the less developed regions and 27.2% in the more developed regions. The lowest CS rates are found in Africa (7.3%) and more specifically in West Africa (3%). The highest rates are found in Latin America and the Caribbean (40.5%) and South America has the highest rate of 42.9%, all until 2014.

We found 78,115 natural and caesarean births in the crisis. The number of normal deliveries during the crisis years was 45,649 with a rate of 58.4% while the number of caesarean deliveries was 32,466 with a rate of 41.6%. We used the number of deliveries (natural or caesarean) of the year 2010 as a base year (before the crisis) to compare the number of deliveries in crisis years. In 2010, the percentage of caesarean births was 29%, and this percentage increased gradually until reaching its peak in the first half of 2017.

In 2011, the percentage of caesarean births reached 32% (an increase of 3%) and in 2012, it became 33%, an increase of 1% until it reached its highest increase in 2013 at 43.5% (10.5% rise) and continued to increase until reaching its peak in the first half of

2017 by 51%. In 2014, caesarean delivery was 43% in South America. It was the highest percentage of global Caesareans in that period compared to 43.5% in the ALTAWLID University Hospital in Damascus, Syria in the same year.

## CONCLUSION

Given the increasing rate of CS in the crisis and globally it is very important to focus our attention on the causes of this incidence in order to reduce it.

## Compliance with ethical standards

ALTAWLID University Hospital

**Funding:** This study was not funded by any institution.

**Conflict of Interest:** Author Hisham Al-Hammami declares that he has no conflict of interest. Author Mhd Jamil Taleb declares that he has no conflict of interest. Author Mhd Nezar Alsharif declares that he has no conflict of interest. Author Mhd Majd Taleb declares that he has no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval:** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards [1, 2].

[8-10].

## REFERENCES

- Blum JY, Michalesco P, Abadie MJ, 1997. An evaluation of 2012. Cesarean versus vaginal delivery: whose risks? Whose benefits? *Am J Perinatol.* 29(1), Pages 7–18. Doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1285829.
- Huang X, Lei J, Tan H, Walker M, 2011. Cesarean delivery for first pregnancy and neonatal morbidity and mortality in second pregnancy. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 158(2), Pages 204–8. Doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2011.05.006.
- Timor Tritsch IE, Monteagudo A, 2012. Unforeseen consequences of the increasing rate of cesarean deliveries: early placenta accreta and cesarean scar pregnancy. A review. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 207(1), Pages 14–29. Doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.
- Marshall NE, Fu R, Guise JM, 2011. Impact of multiple cesarean deliveries on maternal morbidity: a systematic review. *Am J*

- obstet Gynecol. 205(3), Pages 262 e1-8. Doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.06.035.
5. Neu J, Rushing J, 2011. Cesarean versus Vaginal Delivery: Long term infant outcomes and the Hygiene Hypothesis. *Clinics in perinatology*. 38(2), Pages 321-331. Doi: 10.1016/j.clp.008.
  6. Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M, Gulmezoglu AM, 2010. Method of delivery and pregnancy outcomes in Asia: the WHO global survey on maternal and perinatal health *Lancet*. 375(9713), Pages 490–9. Doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61870-5.
  7. Zwecker P, Azoulay L, Abenhaim HA, 2011. Effect of fear of litigation on obstetric care: a nationwide analysis on obstetric practice. *Am J Perinatal*. 28(4), Pages 277–84. Doi: 10.1055/s-0030-1271213.
  8. Mi J, Liu F, 2014. Rate of caesarean section is alarming in China. *Lancet*. 383(9927), Pages 1463–4. Doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(14) 60716-9
  9. Mi J, Liu F, 2014. Rate of caesarean section is alarming in China. *Lancet*. 383(9927), Pages 1463–4. Doi: 10.1016/S0140- 6736(14)60716-9
  10. Bruggmann D, Lohlein LK, Louwen F, 2015. Cesarean Section-A Density-Equalizing Mapping Study to Depict Its Global Research Architecture. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 12(11), Pages 14690–708. Doi: 10.3390/ijerph121114690
  11. Vogel JP, Betran AP, Vindevoghel N2015. Use of the Robson classification to assess caesarean section trends in 21 countries: a secondary analysis of two WHO multicounty surveys. *The Lancet Global health*. 3(5), Pages e260–70. Doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X (15)70094-X
  12. Betrán AP, Ye J, Moller A-B, Zhang J, 2016. The Increasing Trend in Caesarean Section Rates: Global, Regional and National Estimates 1990-2014. Zeeb H, ed. *PLoS ONE*. 11(2), Pages e0148343. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148343.